## Quasar Variability in the Palomar Transient Factory Survey

#### Neven Caplar, Simon J. Lilly, Benny Trakhtenbrot

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

- 1.5 m telesope on Mount Palomar/in south California
- Transient sky survey started in March 2009
- Data mostly in Mould r band (centered at 658 nm)

- 1.5 m telesope on Mount Palomar/in south California
- Transient sky survey started in March 2009
- Data mostly in Mould r band (centered at 658 nm)



- 1.5 m telesope on Mount Palomar/in south California
- Transient sky survey started in March 2009
- Data mostly in Mould r band (centered at 658 nm)
- 25500 AGNs brighter than r=19.1



- 1.5 m telesope on Mount Palomar/in south California
- Transient sky survey started in March 2009
- Data mostly in Mould r band (centered at 658 nm)
- 25500 AGNs brighter than r=19.1
- 2.2 million data points = largest calibrated single band dataset!



### **Re-calibration of survey**

- AGN light-curves were re-calibrated
- We search for zeropoints which minimize the scatter of reference objects (stars)
- We achieve excellent performance; excess variance is consistent with zero for vast majority of AGNs
- Data public during this year <a href="http://people.phys.ethz.ch/~caplarn/PTF/">http://people.phys.ethz.ch/~caplarn/PTF/</a>

### **Re-calibration of survey**

- AGN light-curves were re-calibrated
- We search for zeropoints which minimize the scatter of reference objects (stars)
- We achieve excellent performance; excess variance is consistent with zero for vast majority of AGNs
- Data public during this year <a href="http://people.phys.ethz.ch/~caplarn/PTF/">http://people.phys.ethz.ch/~caplarn/PTF/</a>



- SF<sup>2</sup> (structure function)<sup>2</sup> analysis
  - Variance of magnitude difference as a function of time lag between measurements
  - We use on ensemble, sample of AGNs with similar physical properties
- Power spectral density (PSD) analysis
  - Variability power per temporal frequency
  - We use CARMA modelling algorithm from Kelly+ (2015)
  - Used on well sampled, single objects

- SF<sup>2</sup> (structure function)<sup>2</sup> analysis
  - Variance of magnitude difference as a function of time lag between measurements
  - We use on ensemble, sample of AGNs with similar physical properties
- Power spectral density (PSD) analysis
  - Variability power per temporal frequency
  - We use CARMA modelling algorithm from Kelly+ (2015)
  - Used on well sampled, single objects

- SF<sup>2</sup> (structure function)<sup>2</sup> analysis
  - Variance of magnitude difference as a function of time lag between measurements
  - We use on ensemble, sample of AGNs with similar physical properties



z=1



- Wavelength correction estimated from SDSS dataset to normalize to 4000 A
- No correlation with redshift
- Little to no correlation with mass
- Clear dependence with luminosity



- Wavelength correction estimated from SDSS dataset to normalize to 4000 A
- No correlation with redshift
- Little to no correlation with mass
- Clear dependence with luminosity

<z>=0.47 <z>=1.05 2.4 2.4 10.0 10.0 2.2 2.2 9.5 9.5 log(Mass/M<sub>Sun</sub>) log(Mass/M<sub>Sun</sub>) 9.0 9.0 2.0 2.0 8.5 8.5 8.0 8.0 1.8 1.8 7.5 7.5 45.5 46.0 46.5 47.0 47.5 45.5 46.0 46.5 47.0 47.5 45.0 45.0 1.6 1.6 log(Luminosity/(erg/s)) log(Luminosity/(erg/s)) <z>=1.52 <z>=2.17 2.4 2.4 10.0 10.0 2.2 2.2 9.5 9.5 log(Mass/M<sub>Sun</sub>) log(Mass/M<sub>Sun</sub>) 9.0 9.0 2.0 2.0 8.5 8.5 8.0 8.0 1.8 1.8 7.5 7.5 46.0 46.5 47.0 47.5 45.5 46.0 46.5 47.0 47.5 45.0 45.5 45.0 1.6 1.6 log(Luminosity/(erg/s)) log(Luminosity/(erg/s))

- Alternative way to interpret the data – τ, time to reach certain variability
- From data τ∝L<sup>0.4</sup>
- Simplest model with thin disc and Kelperian orbits τ∝L<sup>0.5</sup>

- SF<sup>2</sup> (structure function)<sup>2</sup> analysis
  - Variance of magnitude difference as a function of time lag between measurements
  - We use on ensemble, sample of AGNs with similar physical properties
- Power spectral density (PSD) analysis
  - Variability power per temporal frequency
  - We use CARMA modeling algorithm from Kelly+ (2015)
  - Used on single, well sampled, objects

- SF<sup>2</sup> (structure function)<sup>2</sup> analysis
  - Variance of magnitude difference as a function of time lag between measurements
  - We use on ensemble, sample of AGNs with similar physical properties
- Power spectral density (PSD) analysis
  - Variability power per temporal frequency
  - We use CARMA modeling algorithm from Kelly+ (2015)
  - Used on single, well sampled, objects



- SF<sup>2</sup> (structure function)<sup>2</sup> analysis
  - Variance of magnitude difference as a function of time lag between measurements
  - We use on ensemble, sample of AGNs with similar physical properties
- Power spectral density (PSD) analysis
  - Variability power per temporal frequency
  - We use CARMA modeling algorithm from Kelly+ (2015)
  - Used on single, well sampled, objects





Steepening of the slope with mass/luminosity



Steepening of the slope with mass/luminosity



Steepening of the slope with mass/luminosity



- Same steepening effect can be seen in the structure function analysis!
- Lines are deduced from PSD analysis, not fits!



- Same steepening effect can be seen in the structure function analysis!
- Lines are deduced from PSD analysis, not fits!
- Fits in the mass-luminosity plane show preference for the effect to be connected with mass.



- Same steepening effect can be seen in the structure function analysis!
- Lines are deduced from PSD analysis, not fits!
- Fits in the mass-luminosity plane show preference for the effect to be connected with mass.
- Effect now seen with the SF analysis in PTF & SDSS (Kozlowski 16, and this work)
- Effect also seen with the PSD analysis in PTF, SDSS & Pan-STARRS1 (Simm+ 16, and this work)

# Summary

- Largest fully calibrated singleband dataset for studying AGN variability
  - Data available in 2016
- Anti-correlation of variability with luminosity
  - If time to reach certain variability interpreted as time-scale  $\tau$ ,  $\tau \propto L^{0.4}$  this is similar to the prediction of simplest model  $\tau \propto L^{0.5}$
- Strong evidence towards steepening of the PSD slope with mass



Log(Mass), [M<sub>Sun</sub>]