Kathmandu, 16–21 October 2016

#### Accretion Flows

#### near Black Holes:

#### Effects of General Relativity

Vladimír Karas (Astronomical Institute, Prague)

vladimir.karas@cuni.cz

#### Some historical remarks

John Michell (1784): Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond., LXXIV, 35

If there should really exist in nature any bodies whose density is not less than that of the sun, and whose diameters are more than 500 times the diameter of the sun, since their light could not arrive at us . . . we could have no information from sight; yet if any other luminous bodies should happen to revolve about them we might still perhaps from the motions of these revolving bodies infer the existence of the central ones...

Pierre S. Laplace (1796): *"Exposition du Système du Monde"* ... the attractive force of a heavenly body could be so large that light could not flow out of it.

### Some historical remarks

SCIENCE NEWS LETTER for January 18, 1964

ASTRONOMY

# "Black Holes" in Space

The heavy densely packed dying stars that speckle space may help determine how matter behaves when enclosed in its own gravitational field—By Ann Ewing

#### But . . .

... It is virtually impossible to prove unambiguously the presence of black-hole horizon by observing the electromagnetic signal from its presumed vicinity in a cosmic system – absence of radiation signal does not necessarily prove the absence of the surface of the body and the existence of the horizon.

(See Abramowicz et al. 2002, A&A, 396, L31)

### Astrophysical BHs in GR



#### Astrophysical BHs in GR



- Nuclei of galaxies: in AGN (quasars, Seyfert galaxies, ...)
- Stellar remnants: accreting BH and NS (microquasars, ...)

- Nuclei of galaxies: in AGN (quasars, Seyfert galaxies, ...)
- Stellar remnants: accreting BH and NS (microquasars, ...)
- Compact body (rotating Kerr BH, or an alternative?)
- Accretion disc (geometrically thin vs. a torus?)
  - + magnetic fields (jets), + self-gravity, ...
  - time-dependence, non-axisymmetry, polarimetry, …

- Nuclei of galaxies: in AGN (quasars, Seyfert galaxies, ...)
- Stellar remnants: accreting BH and NS (microquasars, ...)
- Compact body (rotating Kerr BH, or an alternative?)
- Accretion disc (geometrically thin vs. a torus?)
  - + magnetic fields (jets), + self-gravity, ...
  - time-dependence, non-axisymmetry, polarimetry, ...
- model predictions vs. observations: elmg. lightcurves & spectra (continuum, lines), high-energy particles (TeV), gw synergy (TDEs)

- Nuclei of galaxies: in AGN (quasars, Seyfert galaxies, ...)
- Stellar remnants: accreting BH and NS (microquasars, ...)
- Compact body (rotating Kerr BH, or an alternative?)
- Accretion disc (geometrically thin vs. a torus?)
  - + magnetic fields (jets), + self-gravity, ...
  - time-dependence, non-axisymmetry, polarimetry, ...
- model predictions vs. observations: elmg. lightcurves & spectra (power-spectra, SSC continua, broad lines), high-energy particles (TeV)
- Q GR effects taken into account: acceleration to relativistic speed strong gravity lensing, energy shifts variability time-scales → orbiting near ISCO gw—elmg. synergy (TDEs)...

#### GR relevant for BH accretion



FIG. 1.—Radii of circular, equatorial orbits around a rotating black hole of mass M, as functions of the hole's specific angular momentum a. Dashed and dotted curves (for direct and retrograde orbits) plot the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate radius of the innermost stable (ms), innermost bound (mb), and photon (ph) orbits. Solid curves indicate the event horizon  $(r_+)$  and the equatorial boundary of the ergosphere  $(r_0)$ .

Equatorial orbits of particles near a rotating BH ( $|a/M| \le 1$ ).

(Bardeen et al, ApJ, 1972)

#### GR relevant for BH accretion



FIG. 2.—Embedding diagrams of the "plane"  $\theta = \pi/2$ , t = constant, for rotating black holes with near-maximum angular momentum. Here *a* denotes the hole's angular momentum in units of *M*. The Boyer-Lindquist radial coordinate *r* determines only the circumference of the "tube." When  $a \rightarrow M$ , the orbits at  $r_{\text{ms}}$ ,  $r_{\text{mb}}$ , and  $r_{\text{ph}}$  all have the same circumference and coordinate radius, although—as the embedding diagram shows clearly—they are in fact distinct.

Embeddings of equatorial plane near a rotating BH ( $|a/M| \le 1$ ).

(Bardeen et al, ApJ, 1972)

#### GR relevant for BH accretion



### Wave fronts in a BH spacetime



Assumptions and facts: elmg. radiation does not influence geometry of the BH spacetime (to first order).

Wave fronts do not depend on polarization (in geometrical optics approximation).

The analogy: light propagation in a vacuum curved spacetime versus material media in a flat spacetime.

The effective permeability:  $\mu = \sqrt{1 - 2M/r}$ .

Mashoon (1973); Hanni (1977); ...

#### Wave fronts in a BH spacetime

Schwarzschild metric,

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}.$$

Eikonal equation,

$$-\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)(\psi_{,r})^{2}+\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}(\psi_{,t})^{2}-r^{-2}(\psi_{,\phi})^{2}=0.$$

Solved by separation of variables,  $\psi(t, r, \phi) \equiv R(r) + \alpha \phi - \omega t$ ,

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)(R')^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}\omega^2 - r^{-2}\alpha^2.$$

Wave front:  $\psi(t_0 + n \, \delta t, r, \phi) = \psi(t_0, r_0, 0).$ 

#### Wave fronts in a BH spacetime

Kerr metric,

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma} \left( dt - a \sin^{2} \theta \, d\phi \right)^{2} + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} \, dr^{2} + \Sigma \, d\theta^{2} + \frac{\sin^{2} \theta}{\Sigma} \left[ a \, dt - (r^{2} + a^{2}) \, d\phi \right]^{2}$$

The separation of variables and solution for the eikonal equation follow from Carter's solution of the scalar wave equation,

$$\psi = R(r) + T(\theta) + \alpha \phi - \omega t.$$

Notice: direct vs. retrograde spin.

Wave fronts exhibit the frame dragging effect.

#### BH shadow and light circle



#### BH shadow and light circle



#### Light-travel time effect



#### Light-travel time effect



#### Reverberation from TDEs



#### Reconnection in ergosphere?



#### Reconnection in ergosphere?



Karas, Kopáček & Kunneriath (CQG, 2012)

#### Binary BHs



See further lectures at this meeting!

Thank you!

#### Discussion slides - AD `corona'



#### Discussionslides

