The power of extragalactic jets

Patryk Pjanka

Princeton University

Shining from the heart of darkness: black hole accretion and jets – Kathmandu, October 19th, 2016



Outline

 Importance of jet power measurements

(why do we care?)

- Main methods
- Comparison of results
- Discussion

Why do we care?

- AGN feedback
- Verification of jet ejection and jet structure models



Gaibler et al. (2011)



Main methods of measurement

Time-averaged jet powers:

• Radio lobe energy content

+ scaling relations

• X-ray cavities

Instantaneous jet powers:

- Spectral fitting of blazar models
- Radio core shift method



http://fiveminutemarketing.com/2016/09/marketing-measurement-matters/

1. Radio lobe energy content

Steve Rawlings & Richard Saunders (1991)



Image credit: NRAO/VLA; Alan Bridle, David Hough, Colin Lonsdale, Jack Burns and Robert Laing

Note (Scheuer 1974): $P_i \gg P_{rad}$

 $P_j = \frac{4}{3} \frac{E_{lobe}}{T}$

Lobe energy content:

- equipartition between electrons and B
- shape assumptions
- lower limit

Age estimate:

- spectrally from cooling timescales
- ram pressure balance from X-ray data
 velocity
- 0.1c

1. Radio lobe energy content

The formalism of Chris Willott et al. (1999)



Correction factors:

- Geometry: $\left< \frac{D}{w} \right> = 5, \langle i \rangle = 60^{\circ} \rightarrow f_{geom}$
- Spectrum: $v_{min} = 10 \text{MHz} \rightarrow f_{lowE}$
- Minimum energy condition: f_{min}
- Expansion work: g_{exp}
- Bulk and turbulent energy: g_{ke}

Age calculation:

Radio lobe

Н

AGN

Self-similar evolution of the lobe

≻ Obs

D

•
$$n(r_{100}) = n_{100} r_{100}^{-\beta}, \beta \sim 1.5 \text{ (RWB)}$$

The power of extragalactic jets - Patryk Pjanka

$$\begin{split} P_j^W \simeq 4 \times 10^{45} f^{3/2} \ \mathrm{erg/s} \\ \times \left(\frac{D}{110 \ \mathrm{kpc}}\right)^{-4/7+\beta/2} \left(\frac{c_1(f)}{5.4}\right)^{(5-\beta)/2} \\ \times \left(\frac{\rho_{100}}{3 \times 10^{-3} \ \frac{m_P}{\mathrm{cm}^3}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{L_{151}}{10^{35} \ \frac{\mathrm{erg}}{\mathrm{s \ sr \ Hz}}}\right)_6^{6/7}. \end{split}$$

 $1 \le f \le 20$

2. Blazar models



Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2009)

A one-zone blazar model:

- Accretion disk
- X-ray corona
- BLR, IR torus

SSC, EC

- CMB
- Internal B (synchrotron)



Fit parameters: M_{BH} , L_d , R_{diss} , P_{inj} , B, Γ_{max} , Θ_j , γ_b , γ_{max} , p_2



Ghisellini et al. (2014)

3. Radio core shift method



ABSTRACT

Variable extragalactic radio sources, associated with the nuclei of galaxies and quasars, are interpreted in terms of a supersonic relativistic jet. It is proposed that radio emission originates

Extended jet model:

- $\Gamma, \Theta = const.$
- $N_e \propto z^{-2} \gamma^{-p}$
- $B \propto z^{-1}$

Peak of synchrotron emission at frequency ν

$$r_{core} \propto \nu^{-1/k_r} \sim \nu^{-1}$$

The core shift measure (Lobanov 1998)

$$\Omega_{rv} = 1mas \frac{D_L[pc]\Delta\Theta[mas]}{(v_1[GHz]^{-1} - v_2[GHz]^{-1})(1+z)^2}$$

The power of extragalactic jets - Patryk Pjanka







3. Radio core shift method

$$h_{peak} = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+4}} h_g v_g}{\nu} \sim h(\tau_{\nu} = 1)$$

 v_0 is the turnover frequency at h_0 :

$$\left[\frac{(1+z)h_P v_g}{m_e c^2}\right]^{\frac{p+4}{2}} = \frac{\pi C_2(p)\sigma_T K_g h_g tan \Theta_j}{\alpha_f sini} \left(\frac{\delta B_g}{B_{cr}}\right)^{\frac{p+2}{2}}$$

More measurements / assumptions needed:

- $\Theta_j \Gamma_j \sim 0.1 0.2$, Γ_j (observations)
- equipartition (Lobanov 1998, Hirotani 2000)
- opening angle (Slish 1963, Williams 1963, Hirotani 2005)
- flux at $\alpha = 0$ (Zdziarski et al. 2015)

•
$$\sigma_B \simeq \left(\frac{\Theta_j \Gamma_j}{s}\right)^2$$
 for $B_{\phi} \leftrightarrow B_z$ relation (Tchekhovskoy et al. 2009)



9

 P_B, P_i

4. X-ray cavities



Cyg A: 0.5-8keV Chandra, 6cm Guo (2016), Reynolds et al. (2015) Perley et al. (1984)



The power of extragalactic jets - Patryk Pjanka

5. Scaling relations

- γ -ray luminosity (Ghisellini et al. 2014)
- various scaling relations

with radio luminosities







Blazar models agree with radio core shifts



Combined samples:

ΔΘ < 1°

•
$$\frac{\Delta z}{z} < 0.1$$

Pjanka, Zdziarski & Sikora (2016) in prep.

$$\left\langle \log_{10} \frac{P_{cs}}{P_{fit}} \right\rangle = 0.0 \pm 0.4$$

Pushkarev et al. (2012) Ghisellini et al. (2014) Zamaninasab et al. (2014)

The power of extragalactic jets - Patryk Pjanka

Radio lobe method < X-ray cavities



The power of extragalactic jets - Patryk Pjanka

Radio lobe method < Blazar model fits



Kharb et al. (2010), Meyer et al. (2011), Rushkarevetatic (2012), Ghisellini et al. (2014)

Possible interpretations

Intermittency



Blazar jets are active ~10% of the AGN lifetime

• Pair content

$$P_{rl} = P_p + P_B$$
$$P_p = \frac{n_p}{n_e} P_{p=e}$$
$$\frac{n_e}{n_p} \simeq \frac{P_{p=e}}{P_{rl} - P_B}$$





Pjanka, Zdziarski & Sikora (2016) in press

(b)

2

Jet production efficiency





Image credit: ESO/L Calçada Dial: http://www.machine-dro.co.uk /mitutoyo-30mm-long-stroke-dial-indicator.html

Summary

- Multiple ways to measure jet power:
 - Radio lobes
 - Radio core shift
 - Blazar models
 - X-ray cavities
 - Inconsistencies remain
 → hints on jet physics!

Magnetic field power vs height



Comparison of methods

Radio lobe energy content:

- + relatively easy
- - the f-factor
- - require well-defined lobe structure

Blazar models:

- + accounts for the physics
- + less assumptions
- - simplified models
- - broad SED coverage required

Radio core shift:

- + accounts for the physics
- + less assumptions
- - simplified models
- observational difficulty

X-ray cavities:

- + directly coupled to AGN feedback problem
- - assumptions, cavity counting
- - jet-cavity link relatively unsure

Thank you for your attention