Thermal Dust Observations and Theory

J.-Ph. Bernard, IRAP, Toulouse, France

On behalf of a few large collaborations: Planck, Herschel Heritage, Herschel Higal





$$I_{v} = \tau_{v} B_{v}(T_{D}) = \pi a^{2} Q_{abs}(\lambda) X_{dust} N_{H} B_{v}(T_{D})$$

BG at thermal equilibrium -> dust temperature T_D measures radiation field intensity (G0)

It is usual to assume $Q_{abs}(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{-\beta}$ with $\beta=2$ (Quadratic Law)

- In the FIR-mm optical depth are small (can account for the mass of a whole galaxy) - In the Rayleigh-Jeans regime, $I_v \alpha T_D$, so mass determinations not very sensitive to temperature determination in Submm-mm ...

The flat MW SED

COBE/FIRAS : MW SED much flatter than predicted by the quadratic law (1.5< β <1.7)



mm excess : Warm dust at ~17.5 K Very cold dust (5-7K) ?

mm excess is strongly correlated to FIR emission at high |b| This lead Reach et al. to <u>reject</u> "very cold" dust.

Finkbeiner et al. 1999 (FSD) 2 components= Graphite + Silicate

Number	Model	α	α2	f_1	q_1/q_2	$\langle T_1 \rangle$	$\langle T_2 \rangle$	P_1/P_2	χ^2	χ^2_{ν}
1	One-component: v1.5 emis	1.5		1.0	1.0	20.0			24943	204
2	One-component: v1.7 emis	1.7		1.0	1.0	19.2			8935	73
3	One-component: v2.0 emis	2.0		1.0	1.0	18.1			3801	31
4	One-component: v2.2 emis	2.2		1.0	1.0	17.4			9587	79
5	Pollack et al. two-component	1.5	2.6	0.25	0.61	17.0	17.0	0.33	1866	15.3
6	Two-component: both v2	2.0	2.0	0.00261	2480	4.9	18.1	0.0026	1241	10.3
7	Two-component: fit f, q	1.5	2.6	0.0309	11.2	9.6	16.4	0.0319	244	2.03
8	Two-component: fit f, q, α_1 , α_2	1.67	2.70	0.0363	13.0	9.4	16.2	0.0377	219	1.83

2 Temperature models can fit sky brightness distribution beautifully, but do not provide a physical explanation for the very cold dust at 9K (No realistic grain material explains dust at 9 K in diffuse ISM)

$T-\beta$ correlation ?

Variations of spectral index appear inversely correlated with dust temperature.

15

20





However T and β are degenerate in SED fits and naturally inversely correlated. Demonstration that the effect is real requires taking errors into account.

The following studies concluded that the effect is not due to this degeneracy : Dupac et al. 2003, Désert et al. 2008, Paradis et al. 2010, Planck Collaboration 2011 XXIII



Bernard J.Ph., Planck 2012, Bologna

T mixing ?

Malinen et al. 2010 : 3D MHD simulation + full radiative transfer + realistic distribution of heating sources (stars) + Herschel noise.

- cases with no internal sources: no fake T-beta inverse correlation
 - (in fact produces the opposite)

- cases with internal sources: fake T-beta inverse correlation for a minority of pixels, close to embedded sources.



The TLS model of amorphous grains

Most dust (98%) in ISM is amorphous (Kemper 2004)

TLS Strongly inspired from solid-state physics : *Meny et al. 2007* A double description of disorder in amorphous solids

- A Disordered Charge Distribution (DCD)
 ⇒ Emission independent of temperature (FIR)
- A microscopic distribution of asymetrical <u>double potential</u> <u>wells</u> (Two Level Systems: TLS) with small ΔE:
 ⇒ Emission dependent on temperature (submm)





Laboratory data



Laboratory measurements of dust analog materials show (*Coupeaud et al. 2011*):

- Internal structure of the grain material (amorphous vs crystalline) affects both the emissivity shape and intensity

- Emissivity flattens at long wavelenghs
- Emissivity flattens at high temperature

A physical explanation may be provided by models including grain structure disorder (TLS model) : *Meny et al. 2007 Paradis et al. 2011*



Some materials (here $MgSiO_3$) and mechanical structure certainly match the observed SED for the right temperature (here T=30 K). Not all do.

The TLS model of amorphous grains



Deriving standard parameters of the TLS model (5 parameters) to explain both FIRAS MW spectrum and Archeops observed SED flattening with increasing T_d.





grain-grain Coagulation



Observational evidences: Bernard et al 1999, Stepnik et al. 2003



Kohler et al. 2012, in prep.

Discrete Dipole Approximation (DDA) calculations of dust aggregates show:

- Increase of emission cross section
- Little variation in absoprtion
- Resulting in higher dust emissivity and lower dust temperature for same radiation field

Bernard J.Ph., Planck 2012, Bologna

The Planck & Herschel revolution

ESA press-release (March 2010)

PLANCK Data Blue : IRAS 100 μm Green : Planck-HFl 350 μm Red : Planck-HFl 550 μm

Herschel Hi-Gal Data Blue : Herschel-PACS 70 μm Green : Herschel-SPIRE 250 μm Red : Herschel-SPIRE 500 μm

Dust Temperature

A critical parameter to measure dust masses

Dust Temperature computed from IRAS 100 μ m, Planck-HFI 857 GHz, Planck- HFI 545 GHz, using β =1.8 (median value derived fromT- β fit)









Evidence for Dark Gas



Solar neighbourhood (|b|>10°) using thin HI : HI/Dark Gas transition at Av=0.4 mag $\tau/N_{\rm H}$ ~power law with β =1.8. Absolute value consistent with value of Boulanger et al. 1996 Average Xco=2.54 H₂/cm²/(Kkm/s) DG mass fraction: 28% of HI, 118% of CO

Possible origins : - Molecular Dark-Gas (most likely) - Optically thick HI 21 cm Assuming Ts=80 K reduces DG by ~1/2 - Weak CO below detection: contribute <20% of DG

γ-ray : a similar "Dark-Gas" phase (*Grenier et al 2005, Abdo et al. 2010*) Herschel GotC+ find similar Dark-Gas fractions in the MW plane (*Langer et al. 2010*) Extinction also sees Dark-Gas (*Paradis et al 2012, submitted*)

Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XIX



Dust-HI correlation in the Halo

HI - dust correlation over 825 square degrees at high latitudes, N_{HI} from $0.6x10^{20}$ to $10x10^{20}$ cm⁻²

Dust in the diffuse local ISM: good fit to grey body (T=17.9 K, β =1.8) from 3000 to 353 GHz (100 to 850 μ m)

Unexpected evidences for dust evolution in the diffuse ISM:

- IVC : 4 times larger VSG abundance, hotter dust (T~20K). Compatible with clouds part of the Galactic fountain (dust shattering)

- Temperature - emission cross-section anticorrelation suggesting modification of grain structure through coagulation.

Marginal detection of HVCs (1-3.8 sigma) compatible with low metallicity (~0.1 solar) Excess emission for $N_{\rm HI} > 3 \times 10^{20} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$ compatible with Dark-Gas (10% in mass)

planck Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XXIV





- Narrow β distribution: 1.78 +- 0.08 (rms) +- 0.07 absolute

- Systematic residuals at 353 GHz (-7%) and 143 GHz (+13%) indicate spectrum more complex than a simple modified black-body

- Dust temperature maps from 16–17 K (diffuse regions) to 13–14 K (dense regions)

- Emissivity increase in dense regions :

 $\tau/N_{\rm H}$ @ 250 μm from ~ 10^{-25} cm^2 (diffuse) to ~2\times10^{-25} cm^2 (dense)

-Such variations of $\tau/N_{\rm H}$ have an impact on the equilibrium temperature of the dust particles

planck 🛃

Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XXV

Galactic plane decomposition





-Temperature follows the general ISRF decrease with R

- Solar circle values (T, $\tau/N_{\rm H})$ in agreement with high latitude studies

- No significant emissivity variation with R

- Dust not significantly colder in CO phase (more sensitive to local star formation)

- Warmer grains in ionized gas

- Spinning dust seen everywhere but the ionized ISM (25+/-5% (stat.) of 30 GHz signal)



planck Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XXI



Bernard J.Ph., Planck 2012, Bologwa

Herschel 500 mic excess



Dust in other galaxies

Red: Herschel SPIRE 250 microns Green:Herschel PACS 100 & 160 microns Blue: Spitzer 24 & 70 microns LMC has seen with Herschel & Spitzer (Courtesy SAGE and Heritage Consortium)





- Temperature (T_D) from IRAS 100 μ m, HFI 857,545 GHz, β =1.5 (median value derived from T- β fit) - Foreground subtraction using MW emissivity measured around galaxies and MW HI emission - (T_D) correlates with H α emission (star formation)

LMC : Warm inner arm (already known). Cold outer arm (South and West) revealed by Planck. SMC : Strong submm excess (similar to other low Z galaxies) ?



k Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XVII

CMB Subtraction





CMB is a serious problem to study dust emission at low frequencies !! (will be even more true of smaller galaxies)

Internal Linear Combination (ILC) with patch size optimized to minimize CMB residuals, based on Monte-Carlo simulations Uncertainties on CMB subtraction derived from Monte-Carlo simulations



Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XVII



- Free-Free contribution subtracted, extrapolated from Hlpha emission, assuming no extinction
- Very Cold dust (Finkbeiner model) provides poor fit. Requires IR/optical opacity ratio 15 times larger than MW. Unlikely given spatial distribution.
- Best fit obtained for a combination of the Two-Level System (TLS) model and spinning dust
- Amorphous grains with similar parameters as MW, but more amorphous than in MW
- Spinning dust parameters compatible with PAH emission in the SMC

planck Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XVII

Submm emissivity of MW, LMC, SMC

Large variations of the sub-mm emissivity are observed between the MW, the LMC and the SMC MW (Z=Z $_{\odot}$) : β (FIR)=1.8 LMC (Z=Z $_{\odot}/2$): β (FIR)=1.5 (consistent with Gordon et al. 2010) SMC (Z=Z $_{\odot}/6$): β (FIR)=1.2



Polarization & dust properties

Draine & Fraisse 2009





- Dust polarization is produced by elongated dust grains rotating and aligning with B

- Stellar polarization is produced by the same grains that produce submm polarization

- Polarized extinction indicate that the smallest dust particles do not polarize

- This is likely because small dust particles do not align with B (low inertia)

Polarization & dust properties



- Models with several dust components predict variations of $p(\lambda)$ if some of these components do not polarize (e.g. spherical particles or poor alignment properties) - Models with single dust components predict no variations of $p(\lambda)$

- Variations of p with column density (t_D) and/or radiation field (T_D) may provide clues about alignment processes, but will often require radiative transfer modeling.

Polarization : B field direction



The planck data will allow to test this with much more statistics than stellar absorption measurements allow Some ISM filamentary structure show apparent connection with magnetic field ...

... although the two examples shown here (only a few degrees apart on the sky) give opposite filament orientation w.r.t. B field



Bernard J.Ph., Planck 2012, Bologna

Conclusions

Herschel and Planck have brought wonderful data about thermal dust emission in the MW and nearby galaxies

So far, these observations seem to confirm some previous findings, such as :

- Ability of thermal dust to trace radiation field
- Large variations of small dust abundance
- Dust coagulation
- Presence of cold cores
- Ability of thermal dust to trace Dark Gas.

They also bring somewhat surprising new pieces of evidence, such as :

- Dark Gas in MW and nearby galaxies
- Large D/G variations in MW halo
- Variations of dust emissivity in MW, LMC, SMC

There are still important questions to be answered, such as :

- Variations of beta with wavelengths ?
- Variations of beta with temperature ?
- What is the impact of D/G variations, ISRF mixing ?

This is just a beginning ...

the Planck Polarization data will also bring a lot to the study of thermal dust & magnetic structure of the ISM.

The scientific results that we present today are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 50 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada



of the European Space Agency --provided by two Consortia funded by ESA member particular the lead countries: France and Italy) with contributions from NASA (USA), and reflectors provided in a collaboration between ESA and Consortium led and funded by

A model of amorphous dust: the TLS model



Emissivity spectra flatten with T and λ

=> Therefore, when assuming no dependency of the emissivity spectrum with λ nor T, one can significantly bias the mass estimate, especially when derived from submm/mm data and extrapolated to shorter wavelengths.

Paradis, Bernard, Mény, & Gromov, 2011a, A&A in press, arXiv1107.5179

Déborah Paradis, MW2011, Rome, Sept. 2011

grain-grain Coagulation



Observational evidences: Bernard et al 1999, Stepnik et al. 2003



- Resulting in higher dust emissivity and lower dust temperature for same radiation field

Dust Temperature



planck

Temperature (T_D) computed from IRAS 100 μ m, HFI 857 GHz, HFI 545 GHz, using β =1.8 (median value derived fromT- β fit)

12 K<T_D<50 K

- Dust temperature clearly traces the intensity of the radiation field:

- External galaxy is colder than inner Galaxy (was already known from COBE data)

- Molecular clouds are colder than surrounding

- Warm regions are star forming regions, HII regions, etc ..

Uncertainty on dust temperature from X^2 minimization using relative and absolute error. $\Delta T_D/T_D < 10\%$ except at high latitudes (low brightness)

Planck Collaboration 2011, A&A 536 XIX







The magellanic Clouds

- Some of the most **nearby** galaxies: LMC ~50kpc, SMC ~60kpc
- High resolution observations
- Low metallicity galaxies (LMC~1/2 and SMC ~1/6 solar)
- Perfect laboratories for dust studies in a very different environment than our Galaxy with access to relatively small scales



Dust in the diffuse ionized gas (LMC)



Paradis et al. 2011, accepted

Bernard J.Ph., LBrenter CInPer "Politustos 2001 18 eleisen





J.-Ph. Bernard, ESA Symposium, Hyeres, France, May 26 2011