Accretion and ejection in Cygnus X-1

Julien Malzac (CESR/CNRS, Toulouse, France)

Outline

1. Black hole X-ray spectral states: observations and models

2. A numerical kinetic/radiation model for state transitions

3. Comparisons to spectra of Cygnus X-1

4. Constraints from jet power and energetics

5. TeV detection of Cygnus X-1

High energy emission of accreting black holes Cygnus X-I



Zdziarski et al 2003

High energy emission of accreting black holes Cygnus X-I



LOW HARD STATE: (compact radio jet) disc blackbody and reflection: weak / HIGH SOFT STATE: disc blackbody and reflection: strong /

Corona: THERMAL Comptonisation

Corona: NON-THERMAL Comptonisation

Hybrid thermal/non-thermal comptonisation models



Comptonising electrons have similar energy distribution in both states: Maxwellian+ non-thermal tail

HARD STATE: $kT \sim 50-100 \text{keV}$, $\mathcal{T}_{T} \sim 1-3$: Thermal comptonisation dominates SOFT STATE: $kT \sim 10-50 \text{ keV}$, $\mathcal{T}_{T} \sim 0.1-0.3$: Inverse Compton by non-thermal electrons dominates

Lower temperature in soft state possibly due to radiative cooling by disc soft photons

Hybrid thermal/non-thermal comptonisation models



Comptonising electrons have similar energy distribution in both states: Maxwellian+ non-thermal tail

HARD STATE: $kT \sim 50-100 keV$, $T_{T} \sim 1-3$: Thermal comptonisation dominates SOFT STATE: $kT \sim 10-50 keV$, $T_{T} \sim 0.1-0.3$: Inverse Compton by non-thermal electrons dominates

Lower temperature in soft state possibly due to radiative cooling by disc soft photons

Hybrid thermal/non-thermal comptonisation models

Comptonising electrons have similar energy distribution in both states: Maxwellian+ non-thermal tail

HARD STATE: $kT \sim 50-100 \text{keV}$, $\mathcal{T}_{T} \sim 1-3$: Thermal comptonisation dominates SOFT STATE: $kT \sim 10-50 \text{ keV}$, $\mathcal{T}_{T} \sim 0.1-0.3$: Inverse Compton by non-thermal electrons dominates

Lower temperature in soft state possibly due to radiative cooling by disc soft photons

GX 339-4 during the 2004 state transition

- Smooth transition from thermal to non-thermal Comptonisation
- Fits with hybrid thermal/nonthermal models (EQPAIR) during the Hard to Soft transition:
- softening driven by dramatic cooling by soft disc photons (while coronal power ~ constant)

INTEGRAL

Del Santo, Malzac, Jourdain, Belloni, Ubertini, MNRAS, 2008 see also Joinet et al. (2007), Belloni et al. (2006),

Standard picture: truncated disc model

LOW HARD STATE

cold disc truncated at ~ 100-1000 Rg + hot inner accretion flow

 \Rightarrow Thermal comptonisation in the hot (10^9 K) plasma

(Shapiro, Ligthman & Eardley 1976; Rees et al. 1982; Narayan & Yi 1994, Abramowicz et al. 1995, Esin et al. 1997, Yuan & Zdziarski 2004, Petrucci et al. 2009...)

HIGH SOFT STATE

cold geometrically thin disc down to the last stable orbit + weak non-thermal corona

 \Rightarrow dominant thermal disc emission

+ non-thermal comptonisation

Accretion disc corona model for the hard state

Accretion disc corona atop a cold (i.e. non-radiating) thin disc (Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Blinikov 1976, Galeev et al 1979; Haard & Maraschi 1993)

Patchy corona outflowing with midly relativistic velocity
 (Beloborodov 1999; Malzac Beloborodov & Poutanen 2001)

eta = 0.3h/r = 1.25 $au_T = 3$

X-ray Jet models for the hard state

Synchrotron and thermal SSC from the base of the jet (Markoff et al. 2001,2005)

Bulk motion comptonisation of disc soft photons in the base of the jet (Reig et al. 2003, Giannios et al. 2004, Kylafis et al. 2008)

A new code to model radiation and kinetic processes in the corona.

Evolution of electrons and photon energy distribution in a fully ionised, magnetised plasma (radiation, acceleration and Coulomb processes)

Solve coupled time-dependent kinetic equations for leptons and photons (no assumption on the shape of the electron distributions)

Compton, Synchrotron emission and absorption, e-e and e-p Coulomb, e+-e- pair production/annihilation, e-p bremstrahlung

(Belmont, Malzac & Marcowith, A&A 2008)

The Synchrotron boiler

(Ghisellini, Guilbert and Svensson 1988)

Electrons injected with γ =10 in an empty (but magnetised) region Synchrotron self-Compton emission

We high energy e- → synchrotron photons → absorbed by lower energy e → transfer of energy between particles
 → 'thermalizing' effect on the electron distribution
 → At steady state: hybrid thermal/non thermal lepton distribution

(Belmont, Malzac & Marcowith, A&A, 2008)

Pure non-thermal SSC models (steady state)

Magnetic field B at ~equipartition with radiation, $l_{B}=(\sigma_{T/m_{e}}c^{2})$ R B^2/(8 π)

Continuous POWER-LAW electron injection $\Gamma_{inj=3}$, $l_{nth}=(\sigma_T/m_ec^3)$ L/R

Cooling and thermalisation through synchrotron self-Compton + e-e Coulomb

Equilibrium distribution: Maxwellian+ non-thermal tail
spectra look like hard state !

Pure non-thermal SSC models (steady state)

Magnetic field B at ~equipartition with radiation, $l_{B}=(\sigma_{T}/m_{e}c^{2})$ R B^2/(8 π)

Continuous POWER-LAW electron injection $\Gamma_{inj=3}$, $l_{nth}=(\sigma_T/m_ec^3)$ L/R

Cooling and thermalisation through synchrotron self-Compton + e-e Coulomb

Equilibrium distribution: Maxwellian+ non-thermal tail
 spectra look like hard state !

Effect of external soft photons

Add soft thermal photons:

temperature of Maxwellian electrons decreases

Compton emission increasingly dominated by non-thermal electrons

looks like a state transition!

Effect of external soft photons

Add soft thermal photons:

temperature of Maxwellian electrons decreases

Compton emission increasingly dominated by non-thermal electrons

Iooks like a state transition!

Comparisons to Cygnus X-I spectra

Comparisons to Cygnus X-I spectra

Both states consistent
 with pure non-thermal
 acceleration models

 Different coronal temperatures due to more cooling by thermal disc photons in Soft state

Comparisons to Cygnus X-I spectra

Both states consistent
 with pure non-thermal
 acceleration models

 Different coronal temperatures due to more cooling by thermal disc photons in Soft state

Magnetic field in hard state: U_B/U_R<0.3
 corona unlikely to be powered by magnetic field

 Temperature of hot protons in hard state: Ti < 2 10¹⁰ K or T_i/T_e<10
 proton temperature much lower than standard two-temperature accretion disc solutions (Malzac & Belmont MNRAS 2009)

Jet power and velocity in Cyg X-I

 \bigcirc Jet powered nebula: $P_{
m j}\simeq L_{
m X}\simeq 2 imes 10^{37}\,
m erg\,s^{-1}$ (Gallo et al. 2005, Russell et al. 2007)

➡ Terminal jet velocity: $v_{\infty} > 0.1c$ ➡ most likely $0.3c \leq v_{\infty} \leq 0.8c$

accretion proceeds efficiently in the hard state cannot be strongly advection dominated

X-ray emission not produced in the jet

Malzac, Belmont & Fabian, MNRAS, in press

TeV detection of Cyg X-1 by MAGIC (Albert et al., 2007)

During MAGIC detection Cyg X-I was in a stable hard state. The brightest ever observed. Otherwise nothing unusual in X-ray light curves or spectra.

(Malzac et al. A&A 2008)

Origin of TeV emission ?

 in the X-ray corona radiative cooling is too strong to accelerate particles up to TeV energies
 shocks in jet, or interaction of outflow with wind of companion star
 relatively close to the black hole
 pair absorption does not prevent the gamma-rays from escaping

(Zdziarski, Malzac, Bednarek 2009)

Conclusions: possible models for Cyg X-1 in the hard state

X-ray let models: appear to be ruled out (although jet may contribute to gamma-ray emission) Outflowing accretion disc corona: corona not powered through magnetic dissipation Hot accretion flows models: appear quite efficient temperature of electrons and protons are comparable